

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/301,811	04/28/1999	JOHN R. HURST	242/044	6113
75	90 07/28/2003			
ARENA PHARMACEUTICICALS, INC 6166 NANCY RIDGE DR.			EXAMINER	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ALLEN, MARIANNE P	
•			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1631	19
		•	DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003	' /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/301,811	HURST ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Marianne P. Allen	1631			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
I HE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing does not be communication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 N</u>	May 2003 .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,10-33 and 37-45 is/are pending in t	the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 37-45 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) 1 is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 10-33 and 37-45 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) 1, 10-33, 37-45 are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) 🗌 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.			
🦳 .	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
11)[1	The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.			
40\[]=	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17,2(a)).	_			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedure.cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rec	ceived.			
Attachment						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Tra FO-326 (Rev		ion Summary	Part of Paper No. 19			

Application/Control Number: 09/301,811

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments filed 5/2/03 have been fully considered but they are not fully persuasive.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 37-45 remain withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statement submitted 2/24/03 has not been considered as it was not accompanied by the appropriate certification or fee.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 10–33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.

Independent claims 10 and 23 are not originally filed claims and have been substantively amended since their first introduction.

Applicant has not pointed to page and line number in the specification for each of the limitations in these claims to demonstrate contemplation of the invention as is now claimed. This was requested in the prior Office action. Statements such as the "entirety of the

Application/Control Number: 09/301,811

Art Unit: 1631

specification" are not helpful. Failure to point to basis for each of the claims and all limitations in the next response will be considered non-responsive.

Support for the claims is not seen for at least the following reasons.

Claim 10 requires identifying a "target item." Applicant's arguments and claim 10 as amended appear to be using the term "item" in a very strict sense to refer to a column or attribute in a table. This is distinct from the concept of identifying a "target compound" as set forth in Figure 6 and on page 30, lines 4-5, which could have many items (e.g. toxicity, molecular weight, structure) associated with it in the row or record of a database table. The specification discloses methods of retrieving information about compounds similar to the identified target compound based on the property metrics of the target compound. While page 14 discloses applying the method broadly to other areas such as health trends, it is not known what a target item is in the context of such areas as health trends, polling trends, etc. As such, the specification does not disclose the invention in the broad terms recited by the claims. There does not appear to be any disclosure of identifying a target item in the sense applicant is arguing in the context of the claimed method outside of target compounds.

In addition, while applicant argues that a neighborhood range is not required for claims 10 and 23, this is not agreed with. The originally filed claims as well as the entirety of the specification, including page 30, make clear that a neighborhood range is input or that a predefined neighborhood is used to determine similarity. Neither of these concepts is present in the claims and there is no disclosure of determining similarity in its absence.

Claims 27-28 recites that a neighborhood effect is the property. The specification discloses that a neighborhood effect can exist for a particular property but does not appear to

Application/Control Number: 09/301,811

Art Unit: 1631

disclose a neighborhood effect as a property itself. (See page 10, lines 10-15.) The specification does not appear to provide support for this claim.

Claims 10-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 is confusing in reciting a "plurality of database tables" in the preamble and in line 3; however, only the first and second database tables are included within the method steps for the fuzzy similarity join. It is unclear how any additional database tables are used by the method.

Claim 11 is confusing in reciting the target item is a chemical compound. As argued by applicant, an "item" is a particular property such as structure or name. This claim language appears to use target item as meaning target compound. See also claims 20-22.

Claims 14-15 are confusing in reciting that a plurality of target items are identified.

These claims appear to be improperly dependent as claim 10 is directed to a single target item and the fuzzy similarity join is with respect to the single target item. It is further unclear if these claims intend iterative fuzzy similarity joins on each target item individually or a single fuzzy similarity join based on the set of target items. Note that the target items do not have to be associated with a single chemical compound (see claim 11). Claim 16 is likewise confusing in reciting "plurality of target items."

Application/Control Number: 09/301,811 Page 5

Art Unit: 1631

Claim 19 is confusing as it is unclear if the rows are eliminated before or after the fuzzy similarity join. If it is after as the language implies, the information retrieved will still contain the non-desired items. Claim 32 is likewise confusing.

Claim 33 is confusing in reciting a single target property is selected from "one or more attributes (columns)." It is unclear whether one or more than one property is being selected.

Claim 26 is unclear in reciting that a "plurality of target properties" are identified. Claim 23 is directed to a single target property and the chemical similarity join is with respect to this property. It is unclear if the claims intend iterative chemical similarity joins on each target property or a single chemical similarity join based on the set of target properties for the target chemical compound.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/301,811 Page 6 Art Unit: 1631 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marianne P. Allen whose telephone number is 703-308-0666. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00 am - 1:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on 703-308-4028. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196. Parianno P. alle Marianne P. Allen **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1631 mpa July 24, 2003